

ID	Comment received				Status
	Comment	Suggested resolution	From	Date	
1	Editorial Fix: Delivery.ID: "COMBITERMS2000" => "COMBITERMS 2000" (add space like in other codes)	Add space like in other codes	juha.ikavalko@tieke.fi	27. mar. 2007	Closed
2	Editorial Fix: Invoice Type Code: "1001 Subset" => "UN/ECE 1001 Subset"	Correct value should be: UN/ECE 1001 Subset	juha.ikavalko@tieke.fi	27. mar. 2007	Closed
3	Payment Means Code: "UN/ECE 4461 Restricted" => "UN/ECE 4461 Subset" (Align naming with Invoice Type Code)	Align naming with Invoice Type Code	juha.ikavalko@tieke.fi	27. mar. 2007	Closed
4	Standard Item Identification. ID: Identification schemes not listed in a current document.	I think we have agreed to use schemeID="GTIN" for GS1 item identifiers.	juha.ikavalko@tieke.fi	27. mar. 2007	Closed
5	I attended the NES workshop earlier this year, we talked briefly. During the meeting it was mentioned that your concept of profiles is both related to document profiles (specialized XML schemas) and to business collaborations (a particular choreographed subset of business transactions). You document the profiles in natural language (http://www.nesubl.eu/documents.4.349a0e10b3c2567f280002226.html), and intend to deliver the document profiles using specialized XSDs and Schematron rule sets. In passing, it was mentioned that there might be an interest in describing the profiles also in a language like ebXML Business Process. There is some interest in ebBP and e-invoicing in other contexts too, such as financial services (ISO 20022, UNIFI). Tom Buschman of TWIST talked about profiles to Helle, and she gave him your name as being the most knowledgeable in this area. Would there be any interest in delivering ebBP too as part of the CEN Workshop? There are also some other people you know, like Stephen Green and Sacha Schlegel, who may have done related worked.		pim.vandereijk@oasis-open.org	27. mar. 2007	Closed
6	Reading the final NES guideline for codelists – see attached – it seems like a lot of ID-lists has been documented as Code-lists: ProfileID TaxCategoryID TaxSchemeID DeliveryTerms.ID DeliveryLocation.ID DimensionAttributeID FinancialInstitutionID FinancialAccountID As you know, ID-lists uses the schemeID and optionally the schemeAgencyID attributes, and not the corresponding list-attributes. So following the NES guideline for those ID-lists you will get an xsd-validation error on your instances.		finn.christensen@mysupply.dk	29. mar. 2007	Closed

ID	Comment received			Status	
	Comment	Suggested resolution	From		Date
7	<p>The examples in the NES PaymentMeans guideline are wrong:</p> <p>1) Chapter 3.1 Domestic Account transfer. The PaymentMeansCode value is wrong (31) and the class FinancialInstitution must be present within the class FinancialInstitutionBranch according to your own documentation.</p> <p>2) Chapter 3.2 International Account Transfer. The class FinancialInstitution must be present within the class FinancialInstitutionBranch according to your own documentation, containing Bank ID and Name.</p>		finn.christensen@mysupply.dk	4. apr. 2007	Closed
8	<p>I'm looking for the code lists referenced in the document found in: http://www.nesubl.eu/documents/nescodelists.4.6f60681109102909b80002634.html For example, I went to page 13 in the PDF file and found reference to: http://www.nesubl.eu/codelists/2007/DeliveryTermsIdentifierInco-1.gc I'm assuming that is a typo and should be: http://www.nesubl.eu/codelists/2007/DeliveryTermsIdentifierInco1.gc But I could not find the actual genericode file at either location. And I tried just looking in the /2007/ directory and that directory doesn't exist either. I also note on page 18, for example, that the listURI is not complete and references only a directory and not an actual genericode file. When will the genericode files and the above directories be made available?</p>		gkholman@cranesoftware.com	16. apr. 2007	Closed

ID	Comment received			Status	
	Comment	Suggested resolution	From		Date
9	<p>The UBL Methodology for Code List and Value Validation[1] describes the approach of using genericcode files for extending published sets with extension sets. For example, UBL has many code lists referenced by NES. When NES needs to extend the UBL list, I believe NES should be publishing the delta between the UBL list and the NES list, and then in the context/value association files users would point to the union of these two lists. That way a UBL coded value (with associated UBL meta data) will be validated equally well as an NES coded value (with associated NES meta data) for a given information item in an NES document. It could be that there are no such extensions to the UBL lists such that there will be no code list value violations of the UBL lists in an NES instance. If NES does specify extended values to the UBL lists, the NES instance will pass structural and lexical UBL validation but will not pass UBL value validation. Can anyone comment on whether there are any NES code lists that are, in fact, extensions of the UBL-specified code lists?</p>		gkholman@cranesoftwrig hts.com	16. apr. 2007	Closed
10	<p>Are there plans to publish the NES equivalent to the UBL second-pass value validation stylesheet[2]? The methodology stylesheets included with the methodology description[1] can be used to create this stylesheet.</p>		gkholman@cranesoftwrig hts.com	16. apr. 2007	Closed
11	<p>Are there any plans to publish context/value association files and Schematron files for the code lists and identifier lists in NES document models? These would be useful to users of the code list methodology (and would be used by you to create the second-pass value validation stylesheet. I note that the published Schematron files for NES are layered contextual information item presence tests and not value validation tests. I've only this evening published the latest version of the context/value association files for UBL 2.0[3].</p>		gkholman@cranesoftwrig hts.com	16. apr. 2007	Closed
12	<p>Invoice.Signature.SignatureParty.PartyTaxScheme.CompanyID mandatory in OIOUBL, but 0..1 in NES If you have a TaxScheme you should also have a companyID</p>	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent. dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed

ID	Comment received			Status	
	Comment	Suggested resolution	From		Date
13	PayerFinancialAccount.ID should not be mandatory. The note is the most important element here. FinancialAccount.ID 0..1 in OIOUBL, but mandatory in NES	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
14	Invoice.PrepaidPayment.ID Used in OIOUBL, but excluded in NES It should be possible to refer to a prepaid payment e.g. in PaymentMeans	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
15	InvoiceLine.InvoicedQuantity mandatory in OIOUBL, but 0..1 in NES In simple procurement it is mandatory to specify how many items you buy	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
16	InvoiceLine.AccountingCostCode Not used in OIOUBL, but present in NES AccountingCostCode requires bilateral agreement.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
17	InvoiceLine.OrderLineReference 0..1 in OIOUBL, but 0..n in NES In simple procurement an invoice should only refer to one order.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
18	PartyTaxScheme.CompanyID mandatory in OIOUBL, but 0..1 in NES You should identify your company by an ID, not by a name.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
19	InvoiceLine.TaxTotal is 1..n in OIOUBL, but 0..n in NES It is mandatory to specify VAT on an invoiceLine, even if you don't pay tax for the item.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
20	InvoiceLine.Price.OrderrableUnitFactorRate used in OIOUBL, but excluded in NES Needed to calculate differences in invoiced UOM and priced UOM	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
21	Price.AllowanceCharge.AccountingCost excluded in OIOUBL, but present in NES Since AllowanceCharge in price is included in the price, the allowance or charge has no fiscal impact and can therefore not be linked with an account.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
22	Price.AllowanceCharge.TaxCategory excluded in OIOUBL, but present in NES Since AllowanceCharge is included in the price, the TaxCategory is not relevant.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
23	ApplicationResponse.DocumentResponse.DocumentReference. DocumentTypeCode mandatory in OIOUBL, but 0..1 in NES Should refer to one of the documents in the profile (or just in the customization).	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
24	ApplicationResponse.DocumentResponse.DocumentReference. Xpath EXCLUDED in OIOUBL, men 0..n in NES Since there is only one document to refer to the use of xpath doesn't make sense.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataalent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed

ID	Comment received				Status
	Comment	Suggested resolution	From	Date	
25	Order.OrderLine.SellerProposedSubstituteLineItem excluded in OIOUBL, but 0..n i NES Doesn't make sence in an order	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
26	Order.OrderLine.SellerSubstitutedLineItem Ekskluderet i OIOUBL, but 0..n i NES Doesn't make sence in an order	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
27	Order.OrderLine.SubstitutionStatusCode.Code Ekskluderet i OIOUBL, but 0..n i NES in simple procurement this is not relevant	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
28	Order.OrderLine.LineItem.Price.AllowanceCharge used i OIOUBL, but excluded in NES This should be symmetric with the invoice.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
29	Order.OrderLine.LineItem.Price.OrderableFactorUnitRate afløftes i OIOUBL, but excluded in NES Needed to calculate differences in invoiced UOM and priced UOM	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
30	CreditNote.CreditNoteLine.CreditedQuantity mandatory in OIOUBL, but 0..1 in NES In simple procurement it is mandatory to specify how many items you credit (symmetric with the invoice)	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
31	CreditNote.CreditNoteLine.BillingReference.BillingReferenceLine 0..1 i OIOUBL, but 0..n i NES In simple procurement one creditnoteline should refer to only one invoice or invoiceline.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
32	CreditNote.CreditNoteLine.TaxTotal mandatory (1..n) in OIOUBL, but 0..n in NES It is mandatory to specify VAT on an invoiceLine, even if you don't pay tax for the item.	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed
33	CreditNote.CreditNoteLine.Price.OrderrableUnitFactorRate Used in OIOUBL, but excluded in NES Needed to calculate differences in invoiced UOM and priced UOM	Correct NES as per OIOUBL	peter.borresen@dataent.dk	16. apr. 2005	Closed

ID	Comment received			Status	
	Comment	Suggested resolution	From		Date
34	<p>I note going through your NES_ValidationTools_Version1.zip file that your Schematron schemas are structured as follows (e.g. UBL-ApplicationResponse-2.0.sch):</p> <pre><?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?> <schema xmlns="http://www.ascc.net/xml/schematron"> <title>Schema for UBL-ApplicationResponse-2.0; ; BRM</title> <ns uri="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:ApplicationResponse-2" prefix="p1"/> <ns uri="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:CommonAggregateComponents-2" prefix="p4"/> <ns uri="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:CommonBasicComponents-2" prefix="p3"/> <ns uri="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:CommonExtensionComponents-2" prefix="p2"/> <pattern name="Guidelines"> <rule context="/p1:ApplicationResponse/p4:DocumentResponse/p4:DocumentReference/p4:Attachment/p3:EmbeddedDocumentBinaryObject"> <report test="."> Element p3:EmbeddedDocumentBinaryObject is marked as not used in the given context.</report></pre>	Align schematron files as suggested in comment	gkholman@cranesoftware.com	20. apr. 2007	Closed
35	<p>Oh, one final thing, if you adopt a common set of namespace prefixes as a convention (I know it isn't necessary to standardize them), then when you create modular Schematron schemas you will not have to worry about prefix collisions.</p>		gkholman@cranesoftware.com	20. apr. 2007	Closed

ID	Comment received			Status	
	Comment	Suggested resolution	From		Date
36	<p>As part of my code list work, I was approached with the question "what would my committee have to do to convert our XSD to using external code lists that our users can modify?" This was essentially the question that came up and was solved in UBL, but only the end result was published.</p> <p>I've written a fabricated case study on what a standards committee would develop and publish to support a community of users ... I commend this to you for your consideration regarding the kinds of artefacts you might publish to help users layer their code list requirements on top of NES: http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/document.php?document_id=23711 I've also updated the code list methodology specifically with NES in mind because of your layered Schematron rules for contextual structural constraints based on the running profile. If you see the new section 9.2 titled "Layering value-validation constraints", you'll find a new diagram showing how <sch:include> is implemented in parallel while <cva:Include> is implemented in priority: http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/document.php?document_id=23711 This means that when you publish your contextual constraints as Schematron pattern (my post on the 19th), users can use <sch:ir> to incorporate those constraints into the same second-pass valid that incorporates their code list constraints and business rules,</p>		gkholman@cranesoftwrights.com	24. apr. 2007	Closed

ID	Comment received				Status
	Comment	Suggested resolution	From	Date	
37	<p>PaymentTerms guide: In 2.1.1 it is stated that amount is "a fix monetary amount to be added or deducted to the invoice for the stated terms" This is wrong. Amount is not a PenaltyAmount, but the amount that applies to the paymentterms. Most often it is the payable amount- but for split payments, it can be any other value, as long as the sum of amounts in hte paymentsTerms gets the payable amount.</p>		peter.borresen@dataent.dk	24. apr. 2007	Closed
38	<p>I can't find any TaxTotal on line level in the Basic Invoice profile. This is required in Norway to state VatAmount on line level.</p>		are.berg@edisys.no	18. mai 2007	Closed
39	<p>Need for a new profile building on Order and Order Response Simple</p>	Probably a task for CEN ws/BII	soren@ooidata.se	12. jun. 2007	Closed
40	<p>"... amounts must be positive": In situations where sellers bundle offers like "buy 3 pieces of item X, and you'll get 1 item Y free of charge", we wish all items to be explicitly listed on order line</p>	"... amounts must be non-negative"	soren@ooidata.se	12. jun. 2007	Closed
41	<p>Order currency does not always give the full picture. If ordering in a foreign currency, the relation to the buyer's domestic currency and, more precisely, the exchange rate to apply may additionally be stated.</p>	Add an option to state buyer's domestic currency (or something to that effect) and add exchange rate information for the domestic and order document currencies	soren@ooidata.se	12. jun. 2007	Closed
42	<p>Order/Delivery/Requested Delivery_Period: Period start/end dates are not sufficient, also start/end time is needed</p>	Add Requested Delivery_Period Time	soren@ooidata.se	12. jun. 2007	Closed
43	<p>An invoice addressee (invoice to) is missing</p>	Add an address to receiver of invoice when different from the Customer Party	soren@ooidata.se	12. jun. 2007	Closed
44	<p>Order/OrderLine/Line Item/Delivery: Delivery address is sometimes required on line level (seems to be commonly used in construction)</p>	Add delivery address on line (cardinality 0..1)	soren@ooidata.se	12. jun. 2007	Closed
45	<p>Order/OrderLine/Line Item/Delivery/Requested Delivery_Period: Period start/end dates are not sufficient, also start/end time is needed</p>	Add Requested Delivery_Period Time	soren@ooidata.se	12. jun. 2007	Closed
46	<p>Order/OrderLine/Line Item/Delivery/Requested Delivery_Period: one occurrence of period start/end dates/times is not sufficient if Partial Delivery Indicator=true</p>	Change cardinality to 0..unbounded	soren@ooidata.se	12. jun. 2007	Closed

Comment received					Status
ID	Comment	Suggested resolution	From	Date	
47	NES does not follow the copy rRight according to Creative Common. I would like that it is somewhere mentioned in the guidelines that they are originated from the OIOUBL guideline.		peter.borresen@dataent.dk	6. jun. 2007	Closed

Classification of comment				Resolution	Agreed resolution	Compatibility with NES1
ID	Topic	Profile/document affected	Element affected	Date		
1	Code value	Code Lists and Identification Schemes	DeliveryID	09.05.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented	Not Compatible
2	Code value	Code Lists and Identification Schemes	InvoiceTypeCode	09.05.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented	Not Compatible
3	Code value	Code Lists and Identification Schemes	PaymentMeansCode	09.05.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented	Not Compatible
4	Identification schemes	Code Lists and Identification Schemes	StandardItemIdentificationID	09.05.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented	Compatible
5	Use of ebBP			09.05.2007	<p>No change required. PB has discussed with one vendor. There is apparently a significant challenge in implementing the ebBP in some of the tools. Given the current budget situation NES will not take any further action in this respect until a contribution has been offered. NES would appreciate and welcome such contributions. Jostein will inform the submitter accordingly.</p>	No change
6	Code lists	Code Lists and Identification Schemes		23.05.2007	<p>Agreed - The code list document should be corrected. Mark to update the document accordingly</p>	Compatible

Classification of comment				Resolution	Agreed resolution	Compatibility with NES1
ID	Topic	Prifile/document affected	Element affected	Date		
7	Guideline	NES PaymentMeans guideline ABIE library	Change Branch.FinancialInstitution	06.06.2007	<p>PaymentMeansCode The decision of NES was to use a single code for transfers between bank accounts but not to use different codes for domestic and international transfers. The decision was to use code 31 "debit transfer" for deposits into accounts. Code 42 is for "domestic use only" and can therefore be used by DK to specify domestic transfers. No change.</p> <p>BranchFinancialInstituion The institution class should not have been mandatory but only the institution ID because it requires the use of an institutions identifier in all cases. In fact it is more logical to make the branch mandatory but not the institution because branches can have unique idendifiers. To fix this we must expand the cardinality to 0..1 on the financial institution class. Change Branch.FinancialInstitution to be optional under Branch.Details.</p>	Compatible
8	genericode file	Code Lists and Identification Schemes		23.05.2007	<p>NES currently does not have the resources available to provide the actual genericode files. This may be part of the continued work to take place within the CEN workshop. Remove reference to actual Genericode-files</p>	Compatible

Classification of comment				Resolution	Agreed resolution	Compatibility with NES1
ID	Topic	Prifile/document affected	Element affected	Date		
9	extencion of UBL code lists			23.05.2007	No change required. No known extensions to UBL exist that we are aware of. Jostein will informe the submitter accordingly.	No change
10	Availability of stylesheets			23.05.2007	Postpone to CEN workshop tool wg. Jostein will informe the submitter accordingly.	No change
11	Schematron			23.05.2007	No action. Jostein will informe the submitter accordingly.	No change
12	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	Invoice.Signature.SignatureParty.Party TaxScheme.CompanyID	25.04.2007	No change. VAT-number doesn't always exist	No change

Classification of comment				Resolution	Agreed resolution	Compatibility with NES1
ID	Topic	Prifile/document affected	Element affected	Date		
13	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	FinancialAccount.ID	25.04.2007	The decision in NES was to make this mandatory based on the assumption that this was the single most important information about an account. Making this optional and thus allowing for fully descriptive payment instruction in Notes does facilitate more flexibility in payments. Since the validation of the information will be controlled by the payment channel code this would fit in. Making the Note mandatory would however not be practical. This would require the cardinality of the account ID to be expanded from 1 to 0..1. Allowing the note element to be used without an account id requires a new PaymentChannelCode. In FinancialAccount.Details the FinancialAccount.Identifier should be made optional.	Compatible
14	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	Invoice.PrepaidPayment.ID	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Payment only used in PrePayment. PrePayment only used in Simple procurement. Change only affects this profile.	Compatible
15	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	InvoiceLine.InvoicedQuantity	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Updated for Invoice, CreditNote	Not Compatible
16	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	InvoiceLine.AccountingCostCode	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented InvoiceLine.AccountingCostCode to be defined as optional	Compatible
17	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	InvoiceLine.OrderLineReference	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Updated in Invoice	Not Compatible
18	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	PartyTaxScheme.CompanyID	25.04.2007	No change. VAT-number doesn't always exist (for banks, economic associations, foundations), but PartyTaxScheme is needed for other purposes/TaxSchemes	No change
19	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	InvoiceLine.TaxTotal	25.04.2007	No change. Amounts not mandatory in sweden. In most cases only category and percent is needed. Therefore 0..n	No change
20	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	InvoiceLine.Price.OrderrableUnitFactorRate	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented InvoiceLine.Price.OrderrableUnitFactorRate added as an optional element Updated in Profile 7, not in basic profiles	Compatible
21	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	Price.AllowanceCharge.AccountingCost	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Marked as Not Used in context of price. Affects complete NES.	Not Compatible
22	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	Price.AllowanceCharge.TaxCategory	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Marked as Not Used in context of price. Affects complete NES.	Not Compatible
23	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	ApplicationResponse.DocumentResponse.DocumentReference.DocumentTypeCode	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Made mandatory in CL in context of Document Response.	Not Compatible
24	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	ApplicationResponse.DocumentResponse.DocumentReference.Xpath	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Made Not Used in CL in context of Document Response.	Not Compatible

Classification of comment				Resolution	Agreed resolution	Compatibility with NES1
ID	Topic	Prifile/document affected	Element affected	Date		
25	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	Order.OrderLine.SellerProposedSubstituteLinItem	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Changed in Profile 7 ONLY (only place where it is used). OrderLine is used in OrderChange, therefore I didn't update the OrderLine on CL-level.	Not Compatible
26	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	Order.OrderLine.SellerSubstitutedLinItem	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Changed in Profile 7 ONLY (only place where it is used). OrderLine is used in OrderChange, therefore I didn't update the OrderLine on CL-level.	Not Compatible
27	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	Order.OrderLine.SubstitutionStatusCode.Code	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Changed in Profile 7 ONLY (only place where it is used). OrderLine is used in OrderChange, therefore I didn't update the OrderLine on CL-level.	Not Compatible
28	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	Order.OrderLine.LineItem.Price.AllowanceCharge	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Changed in profile 7.	Compatible
29	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	Order.OrderLine.LineItem.Price.OrderableFactorUnitRate	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented Changed in profile 7.	Compatible
30	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	CreditNote.CreditNoteLine.CreditedQuantity	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented See Issue 15	Not Compatible
31	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	CreditNote.CreditNoteLine.BillingReference.BillingReferenceLine	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented The business requirement is to have: At document level BillingRef should be 0..n At line level BillingRef should be 0..1 and allow for referencing an invoice or an invoice line	No change
32	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	CreditNote.CreditNoteLine.TaxTotal	25.04.2007	No change. Amounts not mandatory in sweden. In most cases only category and percent is needed. Therefore 0..n	No change
33	OIOUBL alignment	ABIE library	CreditNote.CreditNoteLine.Price.OrderableUnitFactorRate	25.04.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented	Compatible

Classification of comment				Resolution	Agreed resolution	Compatibility with NES1
ID	Topic	Prifile/document affected	Element affected	Date		
34	Schematron			06.06.2007	<p>This is about modularizing schematron files in order to get an overview of customized files used in an implementation. See also issue 36.</p> <p>In OIOUBL they have decided to make one schematron file for each document type to be validated (i.e. one file for BasisOrder).</p> <p>Applying the comments as suggested would imply that NES would have to start handcrafting of Schematron files which are today produced automatically. This is not justifiable at this point in time - No change required</p>	No change
35	Namespace prefixes			23.05.2007	No action at this point.	No change

Classification of comment				Resolution	Agreed resolution	Compatibility with NES1
ID	Topic	Prifile/document affected	Element affected	Date		
36	Code lists			06.06.2007	<p>See also issue 34.</p> <p>Applying the comments as suggested would imply that NES would have to start handcrafting of Schematron files which are today produced automatically. This is not justifiable at this point in time - No change required.</p> <p>Implementers may take benefit from this comment, but this is outside of scope for NES.</p>	No change

Classification of comment				Resolution	Agreed resolution	Compatibility with NES1
ID	Topic	Profile/document affected	Element affected	Date		
37	Guideline	PaymentTerms guide		06.06.2007	<p>To enable payment terms that include fixed charges to be applied to the payable amount this use of the amount element was decided on in the meeting in Reykjavik last august. The method of using the element to specify installments is a different approach require the element to be interpreted as "the amount to be paid". The discussion was that since payments terms include penalty percentages that accrue pr. day it was not possible and could be confusing to specify the amount to be paid under the term. Another approach for specifying installment could be to repeat the Payment Means class for each installment and put information about each installment in the Note element.</p> <p>In DK this field is interpreted as "the total amount payable under these payment terms". This interpretation implies that it is not possible to specify fixed penalty amounts. Current interpretation in NES is that it is "the amount associated with this specific payment term". This interpretation implies that split payments can not be specified as a payment term. The 2 business cases to be addressed are: 1) how to specify split payment and 2) how to specify fixed</p> <p>Add the following NES usage note for Amount in PaymentTerm If the PaymentTerm states a penalty charge, as indicated in the</p>	
38	Profiled message	Basic Invoice	TaxTotal	23.05.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented by making TaxTotal optional and unbounded at line level for the invoice in all profiles Updated in Invoice and CreditNote	Compatible
39	New profile			06.06.2007	No change Work item moved to CEN workshop.	No change
40	Profile descriptions, section 10 (referring to order line)	Profiles 3, 6 and 7/section 10		13.06.2007	Agree Text changed to "quantities must be positive and amounts must not be negative"	Compatible
41	Order amendment	Profile 3 & 6/Order		13.06.2007		
42	Order amendment	Profile 3 & 6/Order		13.06.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented	Compatible
43	Order amendment	Profile 3 & 6/Order		13.06.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented	Compatible
44	Order/Order line amendment	Profile 3 & 6/Order		13.06.2007	No change Work item moved to CEN workshop.	No change
45	Order/Order line amendment	Profile 3 & 6/Order		13.06.2007	Agreed - Suggested change to be implemented	Compatible
46	Order/Order line amendment	Profile 3 & 6/Order		13.06.2007	No change Work item moved to CEN workshop.	No change

Classification of comment				Resolution	Agreed resolution	Compatibility with NES1
ID	Topic	Prifile/document affected	Element affected	Date		
47				06.06.2007	<p>Agreed</p> <p>Include the following statement in all relevant guidelines: "The content of this document is based on original work published by OIOUBL (www.oioubl.dk <http://www.oioubl.dk/>) and is published under the under the Creative Common Licence (http://www.kerignard.com/i/ccinfo.htm<http://www.kerignard.com/i/ccinfo.htm>)"</p> <p>For our profile documents we should include the following: "This document is published under the under the Creative Common Licence (http://www.kerignard.com/i/ccinfo.htm<http://www.kerignard.com/i/ccinfo.htm>)"</p> <p>In all of the documentation generated by GEFEG: "This document is published under the under the Creative Common Licence"</p>	